

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/014,392	VERBOOM, JOHANNES J.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Joseph D. Torres	2133

**All Participants:**

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Craig Lervick.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 August 2004

**Time:** 8am

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-31

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See *Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Craig Lervick on 12 August 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group III, claims 22-31 (Note: the Applicant elected claims 22-31, but the Examiner has now determined that claims 13-21 should be examined with claims 22-31, so that claims 13-31 will be examined in the current Application). .